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Abstract

This article presents deep seismic-reflection data from an experiment across San Francisco Peninsula in 1995 using large (125 to 500 kg) explosive sources. Shot gathers show a mostly nonreflective upper crust in both the Franciscan and Salinian terranes (juxtaposed across the San Andreas fault), an onset of weak lower-crustal reflectivity beginning at about 6-sec two-way travel time (TWTT) and bright southwest-dipping reflections between 11 and 13 sec TWTT. Previous studies have shown that the Moho in this area is no deeper than 25 km (~8 to 9 sec TWTT). Three-dimensional reflection travel-time modeling of the 11 to 13 sec events from the shot gathers indicates that the bright events may be explained by reflectors 15 to 20 km into the upper mantle, northeast of the San Andreas fault. However, upper mantle reflections from these depths were not observed on marine-reflection profiles collected in San Francisco Bay, nor were they reported from a refraction profile on San Francisco Peninsula. The most consistent interpretation of these events from 2D raytracing and 3D travel-time modeling is that they are out-of-plane reflections from a high-angle (dipping ~70° to the southwest) impedance contrast in the lower crust that corresponds with the surface trace of the Hayward fault. These results suggest that the Hayward fault truncates the horizontal detachment fault suggested to be active beneath San Francisco Bay.

Introduction

Beneath about 15 to 20 km, the major strike-slip faults of the San Francisco Bay area strain aseismically (Olson and Lindh, 1985; Dewey et al., 1989; Hill et al., 1990; Lisowski et al., 1991; Olson and Zoback, 1992). The lack of earthquake hypocenters beneath that depth has left many unresolved questions about the relationships among the steeply dipping right-lateral transform faults that make up the San Andreas fault zone in the San Francisco Bay area (Fig. 1) within the ductile regime. A variety of tectonic models for plate interactions in the Bay area suggest horizontal shear in the deep crust drives or at least accommodates the strain expressed at the surface (e.g., Furlong et al., 1989; Furlong, 1993; Page and Brocher, 1993; Jones et al., 1994; Brocher et al., 1994; Bohannon and Parsons, 1995).

To learn more about the deep structure of the San Francisco Bay area and to test the hypothesis that a horizontal detachment fault is active beneath the Bay, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) collaborated with Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute, the University of California, Stanford University, Pennsylvania State University, and Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory on a seismic investigation in the fall of 1991 utilizing the marine waterway system that dissects the San Francisco Bay area (McCarthy and Hart, 1993) (Fig. 1). These studies, known as the Bay area seismic imaging experiments, or BASIX, consisted of complementary seismic reflection and refraction profiling methods. In 1995, large explosive sources were recorded on a fixed land array oriented southwest to northeast across San Francisco Peninsula, perpendicular to the surface trace of the San Andreas fault. These explosive sources were detonated to calibrate a local earthquake array, to determine the shallow velocity structure across the San Andreas and Pilarcitos faults, and to observe the deep reflective texture across the San Andreas fault. In this article, I present and interpret the deep reflection data; the velocity structure results were reported by Parsons and Zoback (1997).

Tectonic Setting and Geology of San Francisco Peninsula and Bay Region

The San Francisco Bay region lies within the surface expression of a broad (~70 to 80 km wide) plate boundary zone between the Pacific and North American plates. As a result, the region is highly deformed and faulted, and it includes major seismic hazards as evidenced by the 1989 M 7.1 Loma Prieta and 1906 Mw 7.7 (e.g., Thatcher, 1975) San Francisco earthquakes. Right-lateral shear takes place on several subparallel strike-slip faults (Fig. 1) such as the San
Andreas, Hayward, and Calaveras faults. This zone accommodates about 4 cm/yr of relative motion between the Pacific and North American plates (e.g., De Mets et al., 1990; Lisowski et al., 1991; Kelson et al., 1992).

The San Andreas fault on San Francisco Peninsula is a relatively young feature that initiated about 1.3 to 3.3 Ma and has ~23 km of right-lateral offset (Addicott, 1969; Cummings, 1968; Taylor et al., 1980; Hall, 1984, 1993; Hall et al., 1996). The Pilarcitos fault west of the San Andreas acted as a “proto-San Andreas” fault prior to formation of the Peninsula segment of the San Andreas fault and may have accommodated more than 100 km of right-lateral offset (Parsons and Zoback, 1997). Faults east of San Francisco Bay (i.e., the Calaveras and Hayward) have cumulatively accommodated up to 160 to 170 km of right-lateral strain (e.g., McLaughlin et al., 1996). Thus, the crust imaged southwest of the San Andreas and Pilarcitos faults on land has been offset over 100 km relative to the crust imaged beneath San Francisco Bay (above the depth extent of the vertical strike-slip faults).

Like much of coastal California, the San Francisco Bay region is underlain primarily by the late Mesozoic/early Ter-
tary Franciscan Complex of accreted origin. This assemblage contains fragments of oceanic crust, pelagic sedimentary rocks, and continental sandstones and shales mixed together in a melange in some places and occurring as coherent units in others (e.g., Page, 1992). These rocks were emplaced during the long-term phase of oblique to head-on subduction that occurred along the California margin, and many were subsequently translated along the coast during oblique subduction and when strike-slip motion supplanted subduction during Tertiary time (e.g., Blake, 1984). In general, Cretaceous granites of the Salinian terrane are exposed west of the San Andreas Fault (e.g., Ross, 1978) (Fig. 1), though the Pilarcitos fault marks that boundary on San Francisco Peninsula.

The 1995 San Francisco Peninsula Reflection Experiment

In June of 1995, the USGS detonated 11 chemical explosions (125 to 500 kg) (Fig. 1) on San Francisco Peninsula, 9 of which generated useful data. The explosive sources were recorded on 183 Seismic Group Recorders (SGR) that were deployed along a southwest-to-northeast line across the Pilarcitos and San Andreas faults (Fig. 1). The SGRs were deployed at 50-m intervals in a fixed 9-km array that recorded seven in-line shots spaced between 1 and 5 km apart and four fan shots located between 5 and 20 km north and south of the recording profile. Because of the fairly wide shot spacing, the primary targets for the survey were the shallow velocity structure from the first arrivals (reported by Parsons and Zoback, 1997) and changes in middle and deep crustal reflectivity caused by the Pilarcitos and San Andreas faults. Table 1 shows the shot locations and every 10th receiver position. Standard reflection processing included elevation and residual statics, predictive deconvolution, and bandpass filtering (6 to 18 Hz). A 2.5-sec trailing automatic gain control (AGC) window was applied to prevent shadowing beneath the first arrivals and other prominent events.

Crustal Reflectivity beneath San Francisco Peninsula

The shot gather shown in Figure 2 is representative of the vertical incidence data collected on San Francisco Peninsula. The experiment was not designed to image the shallowest crust, and the wide (~3 km) shot spacing leaves gaps in near-surface coverage. Thus, no reflection signature of either the Pilarcitos or the San Andreas fault is detected on any of the vertical incidence shot gather. Velocity anomalies identified from refractions of controlled and earthquakes sources were noted across both structures by Parsons and Zoback (1997). The receiver spread crossed the Salinian terrane southwest of the Pilarcitos fault and the Franciscan Complex between the Pilarcitos and San Andreas faults (Fig. 1). Where imaged, these bedrock units are nearly transparent to vertical incidence energy (no coherent reflections observed) down to about 5- to 6-sec two way travel time (TWTT) (~14 to 15 km depth) over the entire source bandwidth (2 to 40 Hz).

Below about 5 to 6-sec TWTT, an onset of discontinuous middle and lower crustal reflectivity (individual crustal reflection segments are generally shorter than 1 km in length) can be seen that extends to the inferred Moho (9-sec TWTT; 27 to 28 km depth). This depth for Moho is in reasonable close agreement with models from wide-angle seismic data (Catchings and Kohler, 1996; Holbrook et al., 1996) that found Moho depths between 22 and 26 km beneath the Golden Gate and near San Francisco Bay; a slightly thicker crust might be expected beneath the uplifted topography of the western San Francisco Peninsula. The reflection data do not show a discrete Moho reflection, but rather a progressive decrease of reflectivity that can be traced most clearly on the amplitude decay curve shown in Figure 2.

The onset of middle and lower crustal reflectivity at 5- to 6-sec TWTT occurs at about the same travel time as prominent events beneath San Francisco Bay observed from the 1991 BASIX experiment (~6-sec TWTT; Brocher et al., 1994) and corresponds to a step in crustal velocity (6.4 to 7.3 km/sec) at ~20 km depth as modeled by Holbrook et al.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shot</th>
<th>N Latitude</th>
<th>W Longitude</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*1</td>
<td>37.59389</td>
<td>122.4429</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*2</td>
<td>37.58404</td>
<td>122.45079</td>
<td>331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>37.56985</td>
<td>122.45021</td>
<td>297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>37.56501</td>
<td>122.46099</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>37.54171</td>
<td>122.47828</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37.52051</td>
<td>122.50137</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*8</td>
<td>37.50064</td>
<td>122.39841</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*9</td>
<td>37.68308</td>
<td>122.42121</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*10</td>
<td>37.6142</td>
<td>122.49282</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>985</td>
<td>37.53813</td>
<td>122.48434</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>995</td>
<td>37.5405</td>
<td>122.47993</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>37.55727</td>
<td>122.47437</td>
<td>451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1015</td>
<td>37.56093</td>
<td>122.47607</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1025</td>
<td>37.56215</td>
<td>122.46623</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1035</td>
<td>37.56726</td>
<td>122.46047</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1045</td>
<td>37.56778</td>
<td>122.45513</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1055</td>
<td>37.57011</td>
<td>122.44806</td>
<td>319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1065</td>
<td>37.57427</td>
<td>122.44857</td>
<td>317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1075</td>
<td>37.57803</td>
<td>122.44674</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1085</td>
<td>37.58237</td>
<td>122.45034</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1095</td>
<td>37.58762</td>
<td>122.44982</td>
<td>336</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1105</td>
<td>37.5915</td>
<td>122.44854</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1115</td>
<td>37.59336</td>
<td>122.44398</td>
<td>326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1125</td>
<td>37.5975</td>
<td>122.44187</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1135</td>
<td>37.60139</td>
<td>122.44007</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1145</td>
<td>37.61007</td>
<td>122.44074</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1155</td>
<td>37.61305</td>
<td>122.44487</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1165</td>
<td>37.6187</td>
<td>122.4439</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Indicates 11- to 13-sec reflections observed.
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Figure 2. A representative shot gather from an explosive source recorded by 183 Seismic Group Recorders. The section shows a relatively transparent upper crust (no coherent reflections) in both the Salinian and Franciscan terranes. An onset of discontinuous middle and lower crustal reflectivity occurs at about 5- to 6-sec TWTT. No obvious changes in reflectivity are apparent across the San Andreas and Pilarcitos faults on any of the shot gathers; however, beneath San Francisco Bay, there are very bright continuous reflections (Hart et al., 1995). The Moho does not generate distinct reflections and is identified by a decrease in reflectivity at ~9-sec TWTT (best seen on the amplitude decay curve). Beneath the Moho, shot gathers show southwest-dipping, high-amplitude reflections (5 dB above background) at ~11- to 13-sec TWTT. The amplitude decay curve was developed from 30 traces of the gather stacked after normal moveout correction and spherical divergence correction.

Late-Arriving Energy at 11 to 13 Sec

Following Moho travel times (~9-sec TWTT), a band of continuous (up to 5 km in length) bright, high-amplitude reflections appears on five of the inline and fan shot gathers at about 11- to 13-sec TWTT. The relative amplitude of the 11- to 13-sec reflections is surprisingly high (5 dB above background). For reference, the relative amplitudes reported for the Death Valley, Socorro, and Surrency bright spots range from 8.5 to 10 dB; the Death Valley and Socorro bright spots were interpreted as magma bodies (Brown et al., 1987). An example of one of the 11- to 13-sec events is shown in the shot gather of Figure 2. There is a consistent directivity to the 11- to 13-sec events; they all dip to the southwest and are reflected from a horizon that must be located northeast of all the shots and the recording spread.

These 11- to 13-sec events cannot be explained by P-wave Moho reflections because the long travel times at crustal velocities would imply at least a 40-km-thick crust beneath San Francisco Bay, which is not consistent with interpretations of wide-angle seismic data (Page and...
Figure 3. P- and S-wave reflection coefficients for a variety of lithologic combinations at vertical incidence. The values were calculated from the laboratory database of Christensen (1996) at a lower crustal pressure of 800 MPa; little pressure or temperature dependence on $V_p/V_s$ ratios was noted by Christensen (1996). The upper curve shows the ratio of S-wave reflection coefficients to P-wave reflection coefficients for all the rocks in the database (812 combinations); very high values of this ratio indicate possible situations where high-amplitude S-wave reflections could occur without corresponding P-wave reflections. Example compositional contrasts and their S-to-P reflection coefficient ratios are listed along the top.

Brocher, 1993; Catchings and Kohler, 1996; Holbrook et al., 1996), regional elevations, or the Bouguer gravity anomaly. This study considers four possible origins for these 11- to 13-sec TWTT reflections: (1) crustal S-wave reflections, (2) reflected refractions from a vertical structure, (3) upper mantle P-wave reflections, and (4) crustal P-wave reflections from steeply dipping fault zones and/or side swipe from the lower crust.

Crustal S-Wave Reflections

In the following discussion, I evaluate the possibility that the 11- to 13-sec reflections are S waves and conclude that S waves are an unlikely explanation, mostly because of the lack of corresponding P-wave reflections. If the 11- to 13-sec events are shear-wave reflections; these events would have to be direct S-S reflections because the 11- to 13-sec events are at their highest amplitude at the nearest offsets, and P-to-SV conversions are absent or very low amplitude at near offsets (e.g., Domenico and Danborn, 1987; Waters, 1987). In addition, these shear-wave reflections would have to have exceptionally high amplitudes because they were recorded on vertical geophones.

Thurber et al. (1996) observed high-amplitude reflections at 13-sec TWTT on three-component instruments in a similar seismic experiment conducted across the San Andreas fault in the Gabilan Range (near the intersection between the Calaveras and San Andreas faults). They concluded that the events were S-wave reflections based on
The possibility of differing $P$- and $S$-wave reflection responses can be evaluated by investigating $P$- and $S$-wave resolution at depth and by calculating a wide range of theoretical $P$- and $S$-wave reflection coefficients. If a lower crustal reflector is too narrow an impedance gradient for $P$ waves, but not for the lower-velocity (and thus with a shorter expected wavelength) $S$ waves, then $S$-wave reflections could result without (or with very weak) corresponding $P$-wave reflections. Incident $S$ waves would tend to have shorter wavelengths than corresponding incident $P$ waves only if they had comparable frequencies. Comparison of the $P_g$ and $S_g$ phases on the nearby three-component network indicates that the explosive sources generated significantly lower frequency (4 to 10 Hz vs. 6 to 18 Hz at peak amplitudes) and amplitude $S$ waves than the corresponding $P$ waves, probably due to the greater attenuation of the $S$ waves (e.g., Domenico and Danbom, 1987). Factoring in the different frequency content of source-generated $S$ waves as compared with $P$ waves indicates that the wavelengths of incident $P$ and $S$ waves are essentially the same, implying that there is no difference in their resolution, except perhaps very near to the source.

There are lithologic combinations that can generate much higher $S$-wave reflection coefficients than $P$-wave reflection coefficients. This can be shown with calculations using the database of Christensen (1996) that includes virtually every major rock classification (812 combinations) (Fig. 3). However, only two combinations meet the following basic criteria for deep crustal reflections: (1) the lithologies must be viable at lower crustal pressures and a high geothermal gradient and be roughly consistent with the observed lower crustal velocity structure, (2) the ratio of $S$- to $P$-wave reflection coefficients must exceed 2:1 for $P$-wave reflections to go unobserved (based on the relative amplitudes between observed events at 11 to 13 sec and the weakest events at 7 to 8 sec, Fig. 2), and (3) the absolute $S$-wave reflection coefficient must be equal to or greater than 0.1 [where lower crustal and Moho reflection coefficients have been calculated on highly reflective crustal data, they were found to range from 0.10 to 0.15 (e.g., Warner, 1990)]. The two combinations that meet the criteria are mafic granulite in contact with amphibolite and diabase in contact with anorthositic granulite. It is unlikely that widespread continuous contacts of these lithologies are found in the middle crust as these styles of metamorphism are characterized as patchy even at thin-section scale (e.g., Best, 1982). Additionally, the Franciscan terrane is a highly variable melange in which widespread continuous lithologic contacts are rare (e.g., Page, 1992). I thus conclude that the 11- to 13-sec reflections are $P$-wave and not crustal $S$-wave events.

Out-of-Plane $P$-Wave Reflections or Reflected Refractions

If the 11- to 13-sec reflections are not crustal $S$ waves, then the problem becomes one of modeling a reflector in the

![Figure 4. Results of 3D reflection travel-time modeling of the 11- to 13-sec events. Modeled reflection depth points are contoured in kilometers (as shown by the black diamonds on the contours). This model is for the lowest possible angled solution and fits a horizon between 35 and 40 km depth beneath the San Francisco Bay block. Although this model satisfies the travel-time data, deep reflection data recorded in San Francisco Bay and on the Peninsula (locations shown by dashed lines) collected above the modeled horizon show no evidence of its presence.](image-url)
crust or mantle that is most consistent with the observed P-wave travel times. All shot gathers with the 11- to 13-sec events show a southwest dip on the reflections (both inline and fan shots), which implies that the reflectors lie to the northeast of the sources and recording spread. There is only a small change in moveout from the inline shots to the fan shots, which indicates that the reflecting horizon dips roughly parallel to the trend of the receiver profile. If these events are interpreted as P-wave reflections, then the 11- to 13-sec arrival times are roughly appropriate for either reflected refractions from a vertical impedance contrast east of San Francisco Bay, reflections from a southwest-dipping upper mantle horizon (Fig. 4), or reflections from a steeply dipping (Figs. 5 and 6) deep crustal impedance contrast.

If the 11- to 13-sec events represent reflected refractions from a fault plane like those observed by Hole et al. (1996) from the San Andreas fault, then the apparent moveout of the events should be about 6.0 to 6.5 km/sec (Holbrook et al., 1996; Parsons and Zoback, 1997). However, the observed moveout on the 11- to 13-sec events on shot gathers is closer to 10.0 km/sec (Fig. 2), indicating that the events are not reflected refractions. Thus, 3D travel-time modeling and 2D raytrace tests are conducted for a variety of dipping boundaries, assuming that the 11- to 13-sec events are P-wave reflections.

To model 3D reflector position from reflection travel times, the finite-difference algorithm of Vidale (1988, 1990) is extended to compute reflection travel times (Hole and Zelt, 1995) through the 3D upper crustal velocity structure of Parsons and Zoback (1997) and an extrapolation of the 2D lower crustal velocity structure of Holbrook et al. (1996) (Fig. 6). In the first step, first-arrival travel times are computed from a point source to the reflecting interface. This sampled travel-time field is input into the finite-difference
algorithm, and travel times are computed upward from the base of the model. In this manner, the incident travel times on the reflecting interface are used as a source to propagate the reflected wave upward through the 3D model. Reflector position is determined iteratively either by inversion or by repeated forward modeling steps. Additionally, two-dimensional raytrace tests are conducted using the method of Luegert (1992) (Fig. 5).

A 3D reflection travel-time inversion solved for a minimum-dip reflector, which corresponds to an upper mantle horizon lying between 35 and 39 km beneath San Francisco Bay (Fig. 4). The inversion results show that the reflector must dip to the southwest to satisfy the observed arrivals.

The resulting root-mean-squared travel-time residual of 480 msec is larger than the estimated picking uncertainties (20 to 200 msec, depending on reflection amplitude). There are additional problems with the lowest-angled solution; deep seismic reflection data collected in San Francisco Bay did not show any reflections at post-Moho times from this area (McCarthy and Hart, 1993; Brocher et al., 1994; Hart et al., 1995) even though energy from the airguns employed traveled more than 100 km through the crust as turning rays (Holbrook et al., 1996). Further, Catchings and Kohler (1996) did not report high-amplitude reflections from the upper mantle recorded on their refraction profile that paralleled the San Andreas fault on San Francisco Peninsula (Fig. 4).

Because of the problems associated with an upper mantle reflector beneath San Francisco Bay as the cause of the
11- to 13-sec events, I test higher-angled reflectors using a 2D raytrace method (Luetgert, 1992) and find that the traveltime trends of individual shot gathers can be satisfied by a steeply (~70°) west-dipping interface in the lower crust beneath the surface trace (and vertical projection of the fault to 18 km based on seismicity) of the Hayward fault (Fig. 5). For the 2D modeling, I assume that the velocity structure is relatively homogeneous parallel to the Hayward and San Andreas faults and project the shot positions into the plane of the 2D model of Figure 5 such that the energy from the sources takes the shortest path to the lower crustal reflector. This approach generates reasonable fits to the travel-time trends of the various shot gathers apart from near-surface velocity variations.

Because the 2D raytrace tests establish a high-angled reflector (70° dip) in the lower crust as a potential cause of the 11- to 13-sec reflections, 3D forward modeling is conducted to take advantage of small changes in reflection moveout caused by the crooked geometry of the recording spread, inline and fan geometry source locations, and knowledge of the regional 3D velocity structure to enhance the potential directivity of the events. I define a model in which the fault is vertical to a depth of 18 km and then dips at a constant 70° to the southwest along its length (Fig. 6) based on the 2D model shown in Figure 5. Utilizing the 3D upper crustal velocity model of Parsons and Zoback (1997) and applying a uniform lower crustal velocity model after Holbrook et al. (1996), I calculate travel times from five explosive sources to the dipping fault (Fig. 7 through 11). This model satisfies all the observed travel times and fits the arrivals well within the estimated picking errors of 20 to 200 msec (Fig. 7 through 11). Subtle variation in reflection arrival times caused by source-receiver geometry and variable ray-path velocities are closely fit by the forward model. The
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Reflection points occur along a short (~10-km-long) zone near the central Hayward fault (Figures 1 and 7 through 11).

The success of the 3D model shown in Figure 6 as compared with the lower-angled model of Figure 4, and the inherent problems with assigning the 11- to 13-sec events as crustal shear waves, leads me to conclude that the most viable reflector position is in the lower crust beneath the central Hayward fault.

Discussion

The late-arriving 11- to 13-sec reflections observed on San Francisco Peninsula show directivity and indicate that the events reflect from either a southwest-dipping horizon in the upper mantle beneath San Francisco Bay (Fig. 4) or the lower crust beneath the Hayward fault (Fig. 6). The high-angle fault reflector solution for the 11- to 13-sec events is preferred, as there are significant problems with interpreting them as shear waves or upper mantle reflections. Because of the limitations in the 3D reflection depth-point coverage (Figures 1 and 7 through 11), the data cannot confirm the presence of a 70°-dipping plane in the lower crust along the entire length of the Hayward fault, but just a small ~10-km-long patch beneath the central part of the fault.

The relatively high amplitude (~5 dB above background) of the 11- to 13-sec events signifies a strong impedance contrast in the lower crust beneath the surface trace of the Hayward fault (Figs. 5 and 6). Thus, right-lateral movement on the Hayward fault may have occurred through the whole crust and offset significantly different lithologies. The small reflective patch imaged in this study could be a fragment of anomalous velocity lower crust that was cut or rotated by motion on the Hayward fault. Alternatively, the
presence of fluids in the fault zone or localized shearing and accompanying metamorphism within the fault zone may have generated an impedance contrast (e.g., Fountain et al., 1984; Wang et al., 1989; Kern and Wenk, 1990; Siegesmund et al., 1991). The typical width in time of the 11- to 13-sec reflections and coda is about 1 sec. However, given the uncertainties of potential near-surface reverberations and along-path scattering, it is not possible to comment on the width or possible multi-layered nature of the reflector. The very high reflection amplitudes do suggest a possible fluid-saturated zone (e.g., Brown et al., 1987).

The depth to which high-angle strike-slip faults penetrate is important in resolving the possible interaction between faults beneath seismogenic depths (e.g., Furlong et al., 1989) and also how much strain localizes in fault zones (e.g., Sanders, 1990). To explain the observed heat flow, crustal structure, surface compressional features, and offshore magnetic anomalies, various authors have proposed a low-angled mechanical link in the lower or middle crust that extends between the San Andreas and Hayward faults or across both (e.g., Furlong et al., 1989; Furlong, 1993; Page and Brocher, 1993; Jones et al., 1994; Brocher et al., 1994; Bohannon and Parsons, 1995). The results of this study suggest that any such low-angle structure could be truncated by the Hayward fault and thus would favor the models of Furlong et al. (1989) and Furlong (1993), which have a shallow penetrating San Andreas fault, and a Hayward fault that cuts the whole crust. However, there are indications from geodetic and earthquake measurements that lower crustal slip also occurs on the San Andreas fault (e.g., King et al., 1987; Sanders, 1990; Wallace et al., 1991). Crustal velocity models across the San Andreas fault near the Mendocino triple junction (Henstock et al., 1997) and in San Francisco Bay near the Golden Gate (Holbrook et al., 1996) show evidence for upper mantle offset or lower crustal velocity anomalies associated with the fault. If high-angle strike-slip faults do tend to penetrate through the whole crust, then the implication for earthquakes occurring in the San Francisco Bay area is that strain is primarily localized to the high-angle faults throughout the whole crust, minimizing the strain occurring on low-angle structures, and that any link between the faults occurs mostly as stress transfer through the elastic crust (e.g., Stein and Lisowski, 1983).

Conclusions

The results from a deep crustal controlled-source reflection experiment reveal discontinuous reflectivity in the middle to lower crust (5- to 9-sec TWTT, ~16 to 28 km depth) that I interpret as horizontal shear fabric induced by right-lateral translation along the Pilarcitos and San Andreas fault zones. Late-arriving, post-Moho reflections that dip southwest were best fit by a high-angle (~70°) interface in the lower crust beneath the surface projection of the Hayward fault. These results suggest that the Hayward fault cuts through the entire crust and either has apparently offset sig-

ificantly different rocks in the lower crust or has developed a strong impedance contrast through shearing, metamorphism, and trapping fluids.
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